



THE UNIVERSITY OF
WAIKATO
Te Whare Wānanga o Waikato

Faculty of Education

Te Kura Toi Tangata

Waikato Journal of Education

Te Hautaka Mātauranga o Waikato

Volume 18, Issue 2: 2013

A large graphic illustration on a purple background. It features a thick, wavy yellow path that starts from the left, curves down, and then leads into a series of three overlapping arches. The arches are white with black vertical stripes. The path continues to curve away from the arches towards the bottom right.

WAIKATO JOURNAL OF EDUCATION TE HAUTAKA MĀTAURANGA O WAIKATO

Special Edition Editor: Mere Berryman
Current general editors: Clive Pope, Noeline Wright
Editorial board: Bronwen Cowie, Deborah Fraser, Richard Hill, Clive Pope, Margie Hohepa, Sally Peters, Beverley Bell, Noeline Wright

The *Waikato Journal of Education* is a peer refereed journal, published twice a year. This journal takes an eclectic approach to the broad field of education. It embraces creative, qualitative and quantitative methods and topics. The editorial board is currently exploring options for online publication formats to further increase authorial options.

The Wilf Malcolm Institute of Educational Research (WMIER), which is part of the Faculty of Education, The University of Waikato, publishes the journal.

There are two major submission deadline dates: December 1 (for publication the following year in May); June 1 (for publication in the same year in November). Please submit your article or abstract to wmier@waikato.ac.nz.

Submissions for special sections of the journal are usually by invitation. Offers for topics for these special sections, along with offers to edit special sections are also welcome.

Correspondence, articles for review, subscriptions and payments should be addressed to the Administrator Wilf Malcolm Institute of Educational Research, Faculty of Education, The University of Waikato, Private Bag 3105, Hamilton, 3240, New Zealand. Email: wmier@waikato.ac.nz

Subscriptions: Within NZ \$50; Overseas NZ \$60
Copyright: © Faculty of Education, The University of Waikato
Publisher: Faculty of Education, The University of Waikato
Cover design: Donn Ratana
Printed by: Waikato Print

ISSN 1173-6135

Waikato Journal Of Education

Te Hautaka Mātauranga o Waikato

Volume 18, Issue 2, 2013

Special Section

- Editorial: Culturally responsive pedagogies as transformative praxis**
Mere Berryman 3
- From responsive social learning contexts to culturally responsive pedagogy: Contributions from early New Zealand research**
Ted Glynn 11
- Applying culturally responsive practices: Implications for mainstream education**
Therese Ford 25
- ‘A culturally responsive pedagogy of relations’: Coming to understand**
Annie Siope 37
- Discursive repositioning: The impact a group of Te Kotahitanga teachers within a mainstream secondary school had on one student**
Edith Painting-Davis 51
- Culturally responsive evidence-based special education practice: Whaia ki te ara tika**
Sonja Macfarlane and Angus Macfarlane 65
- Crossing borders: At the nexus of critical service learning, literacy, and social justice**
Fatima Pirbhai-Illich 79
- University and school: Collaborative research as culturally responsive methodology**
Marilyn Blakeney-Williams and Nicola Daly 97
- ### General Section
- Health invaders in New Zealand primary schools**
Lisette Burrows, Kirsten Petrie, and Marg Cosgriff 111
- Peer coaching: A review of the literature**
Tracey Hooker 129
- Developing a resource for teachers: Theory, practice, possibility**
Elizabeth Anderson 141

Resistance within a performativity discourse: Learning from an analytic autoethnographic perspective	
<i>Jason Loh</i>	157
Book review	
<i>Dianne Forbes</i>	171
Ph.D Abstracts	
Participant perspectives informing pedagogy for asynchronous online discussion in initial teacher education	
<i>Dianne Forbes</i>	173
The nature of conversation of primary students in technology education: Implications for teaching and learning	
<i>Wendy Helen Fox-Turnbull</i>	175
Problematised history pedagogy as narrative research: Self-fashioning, dismantled voices and reimaginings in history education	
<i>Philippa Hunter</i>	177
How is teacher evaluation policy enacted? The workings of performativity and micro-politics in Japanese schools	
<i>Masaaki Katsuno</i>	179
Students' mental models of chemical reactions	
<i>Denis Lajium</i>	181
Effective Pakeha teachers of Māori students	
<i>Catherine Lang</i>	183
Toward ecological literacy: A permaculture approach to junior secondary science	
<i>Nelson Lebo III</i>	185
Colouring in the white spaces: Reclaiming cultural identity in whitestream schools	
<i>Beverley Milne</i>	187
Online collaborative learning in tertiary ICT education to enhance students' learning in Malaysia	
<i>Mohd Nihra Haruzuan Bin Mohamad Said</i>	189



Students' mental models of chemical reactions

Unpublished Ph.D thesis, Faculty of Education, The University of Waikato

Denis Lajjum

The University of Waikato

Previous research on topics such as atomic structure and chemical bonding indicates that students' mental models are often inconsistent with the scientific models, which may impede learning of advanced concepts. International research suggests that model-based teaching and learning in science education shows promise in overcoming student misconceptions, but research about modelling for chemical reactions is sparse. In an attempt to redress this schism, this study took the form of an inquiry into a cross-age study of 67 students drawn from secondary schools and universities to investigate their mental models of chemical reactions. This naturalistic qualitative inquiry was based within an interpretive paradigm and constructivist epistemology, in which data were generated from interviews with the participants. The data for this inquiry were derived from semi-structured interviews, incorporating the Interview-About-Instances (I.A.I) technique to probe students' mental models of chemical reactions for various chemical phenomena.

Thematic analysis of the students' discourse of their mental models revealed different types of mental models, named Model A, B, and C. Each of the mental models was characterised based on features of energy change and the process of chemical reactions at the submicro level. Model A was considered as an initial mental model, which was based on students' experience with changes of matter in their daily life. This model was also attributed with the notion of agent-driven chemical change as its core characteristic. Basically, Model A explained most of the properties of chemical reactions, including the rate, spontaneity and reversibility of reactions. Although, it can be considered a 'causality model', it seemed essential for young students in making sense of the chemical phenomena that surround them. On the other hand, Model B was based on either the attributes related to kinetic theory of particles or attributes related to chemical bonding but this type of mental models seemed to share some characteristics of Model A. However, Model C was likely to incorporate the attributes related to both kinetic theory of particles and chemical bonding as core ideas used in explaining chemical reactions. Students' preference towards a given model in their mental models is consistent with previous studies. This preference is probably because of their early exposure to the kinetic theory, and it is simplistic but powerful in explaining the nature of chemical phenomena. Nonetheless, the model of chemical bonding was considered



an 'enabling' model for understanding of the chemical phenomena in terms of rearrangement of atoms and as an affordance to make sense of energy change.

Students' mental models were also compared according to their level of education. Generally, most senior university students were found to hold Model C, while junior university and Form 6 students' mental models were mostly Model B, and all secondary school students' mental models were Model A. It seemed then that the more exposed the students are to formal education in chemistry, the more consistent their mental model become with the scientific view. Although students' mental models were categorised in such a manner, all of the mental models shared common attributes, such as the role of reacting agents in reaction spontaneity, energy as a part of reactions, and irreversibility presumptions. This finding indicates that students' initial mental models were not 'erased' but rather coexisted with the advanced mental models, which were developed through formal education. This relationship is similar to how science operates, where superseded models co-exist with more sophisticated models and are still used for practical purposes although scientists are aware of an old model's limitations and discrepancies.

A general conclusion that can be drawn from this study is that students' mental models were found to be lacking in attributes that relate to scientific ideas such as particle model, particle collisions, activation energy, and entropy despite these ideas having been introduced in their formal learning. Therefore, it is recommended that students should be engaged in developing mental models that enable them to link between macro and submicro levels through modelling an instruction approach that emphasises the understanding, application and construction of models.