The *Waikato Journal of Education* is a peer refereed journal, published twice a year. This journal takes an eclectic approach to the broad field of education. It embraces creative, qualitative and quantitative methods and topics. The editorial board is currently exploring options for online publication formats to further increase authorial options.

The Wilf Malcolm Institute of Educational Research (WMIER), which is part of the Faculty of Education, The University of Waikato, publishes the journal.

There are two major submission deadline dates: December 1 (for publication the following year in May); June 1 (for publication in the same year in November). Please submit your article or abstract to wmier@waikato.ac.nz.

Submissions for special sections of the journal are usually by invitation. Offers for topics for these special sections, along with offers to edit special sections are also welcome.

Correspondence, articles for review, subscriptions and payments should be addressed to the Administrator Wilf Malcolm Institute of Educational Research, Faculty of Education, The University of Waikato, Private Bag 3105, Hamilton, 3240, New Zealand. Email: wmier@waikato.ac.nz

Subscriptions: Within NZ $50; Overseas NZ $60
Copyright: © Faculty of Education, The University of Waikato
Publisher: Faculty of Education, The University of Waikato
Cover design: Donn Ratana
Printed by: Waikato Print

ISSN 1173-6135
Waikato Journal Of Education

Te Hautaka Mātauranga o Waikato

Volume 18, Issue 2, 2013

Special Section

Editorial: Culturally responsive pedagogies as transformative praxis
Mere Berryman 3

From responsive social learning contexts to culturally responsive pedagogy: Contributions from early New Zealand research
Ted Glynn 11

Applying culturally responsive practices: Implications for mainstream education
Therese Ford 25

‘A culturally responsive pedagogy of relations’: Coming to understand
Annie Siope 37

Discursive repositioning: The impact a group of Te Kotahitanga teachers within a mainstream secondary school had on one student
Edith Painting-Davis 51

Culturally responsive evidence-based special education practice: Whaia ki te ara tika
Sonja Macfarlane and Angus Macfarlane 65

Crossing borders: At the nexus of critical service learning, literacy, and social justice
Fatima Pirbhai-Illich 79

University and school: Collaborative research as culturally responsive methodology
Marilyn Blakeney-Williams and Nicola Daly 97

General Section

Health invaders in New Zealand primary schools
Lisette Burrows, Kirsten Petrie, and Marg Cosgriff 111

Peer coaching: A review of the literature
Tracey Hooker 129

Developing a resource for teachers: Theory, practice, possibility
Elizabeth Anderson 141
Resistance within a performativity discourse: Learning from an analytic autoethnographic perspective
Jason Loh 157

Book review
Dianne Forbes 171

Ph.D Abstracts

Participant perspectives informing pedagogy for asynchronous online discussion in initial teacher education
Dianne Forbes 173

The nature of conversation of primary students in technology education: Implications for teaching and learning
Wendy Helen Fox-Turnbull 175

Problematised history pedagogy as narrative research: Self-fashioning, dismantled voices and reimaginings in history education
Philippa Hunter 177

How is teacher evaluation policy enacted? The workings of performativity and micro-politics in Japanese schools
Masaaki Katsuno 179

Students’ mental models of chemical reactions
Denis Lajium 181

Effective Pakeha teachers of Māori students
Catherine Lang 183

Toward ecological literacy: A permaculture approach to junior secondary science
Nelson Lebo III 185

Colouring in the white spaces: Reclaiming cultural identity in whitestream schools
Beverley Milne 187

Online collaborative learning in tertiary ICT education to enhance students’ learning in Malaysia
Mohd Nihra Haruzuan Bin Mohamad Said 189
Problematised history pedagogy as narrative research: Self-fashioning, dismantled voices and reimaginings in history education


Philippa Hunter
The University of Waikato

A growing disturbance with history’s identity in the New Zealand schooling curriculum disrupted my educational socialisation (curriculum, professional, academic) and inheritance of educational policy decisions. In turn, this disturbance shaped a critical stance in my research and practitioner work. Accordingly, problematised history pedagogy (PHP) emerged as the phenomenon and method of my doctoral study and was activated as a counterpoint to my experiences of normalised discourses of history curriculum and pedagogy. The PHP as narrative research was situated in my history curriculum programme in a postgraduate year of secondary teacher education. The research aimed to engage my history class (research participants as preservice teachers) in pedagogy that involved critique of and reflection on the things we do as history teachers in the secondary curriculum. The PHP was nested within my historicising and theorising of educational experience. Conceptualised as a reciprocal research process, the PHP involved the participants and me in theorising pedagogies, fashioning pedagogic identities, and engaging critically with curriculum conceptions of history. The PHP sought to reimagine history curriculum and pedagogy and identify pedagogic spaces of possibility.

The narrative research was layered as a bricolage of storying that reflected the interdisciplinary nature of my educational socialisation. Experiences as a teacher educator, curriculum and assessment developer and researcher meant many voices, discourses and theories were woven into the narrative. This complex conceptual work focused on understandings of narrative; policy, curriculum and pedagogy; critical pedagogy; history; history education; and notions of space. The narrative research was constructed in three parts. Firstly, my narrative selves and shifts to a critical pedagogy stance were historicised and theorised through an autobiographical approach. An original dimension of this storying has been the use of vignettes that illuminate the convergence of educational experience, theorising and reimaginings as an aesthetic and critical narrative device. The second part of the research narrative arrives at the point of praxis whereby experience and theory came together to activate the PHP. The PHP was placed in the context of the national history curriculum, a review of history education
literature, and situated in my teacher education work. The PHP has been represented as a system of meaning through its distinctive research processes of phenomenological inquiry, genealogical disclosure, and discursive self-fashioning. An original form of analysis was conceptualised to deconstruct the participants’ history thinking and their experiences of the cultural politics of the history curriculum. This was conceptualised as a dismantling analysis (DA). The third part of the narrative recounts the history class’s year of reflexive engagement with PHP. Participants’ pedagogic identities, historical thinking and critique of history curriculum and pedagogy as PHP ‘cases’ in secondary classrooms were dismantled and discussed.

Emergent PHP findings of the participants’ thinking as beginning history teachers include such features as discourses of embodiment (fears, failure and fraud) prior to practicum; uncertainties about historical knowledge that includes doubt and discomfort about dealing with ‘difficult’ knowledge; disillusionment with familiar historical narratives; scant exposure to Aotearoa New Zealand histories and limited engagement with historical research methods in school and university study; observations of uncritical teacher modelling of history pedagogy; questioning of a strong masculine focus in historical contexts and a recurrent theme of history as violent; history practicum experienced through the dominant orientation of history as inquiry. These findings illustrate the public, accountable and discursive production of the national history curriculum. Reimagined history curricula are glimpsed in the participants’ seeking of counter-orientations of history’s purpose and desired history pedagogy as inclusive and democratic, as social reconstruction, and as an evolving critical project. A reflective critique of the narrative research brings the writing to a close.