



THE UNIVERSITY OF
WAIKATO
Te Whare Wānanga o Waikato

Faculty of Education

Te Kura Toi Tangata

Waikato Journal of Education

Te Hautaka Mātauranga o Waikato

Volume 18, Issue 1: 2013

Special Edition:
Reclaiming and reframing
teacher education in Aotearoa New Zealand



WAIKATO JOURNAL OF EDUCATION

TE HAUTAKA MĀTAURANGA O WAIKATO

Special Edition Editors: Sally Hansen, John O'Neill, Peter Rawlins and Judith Donaldson

Current general editors: Beverley Bell, Noeline Wright

Editorial board: Bronwen Cowie, Deborah Fraser, Richard Hill, Clive Pope, Margie Hohepa, Sally Peters, Beverley Bell, Noeline Wright

The *Waikato Journal of Education* is a peer refereed journal, published twice a year. This journal takes an eclectic approach to the broad field of education. It embraces creative, qualitative and quantitative methods and topics. The editorial board is currently exploring options for online publication formats to further increase authorial options.

The Wilf Malcolm Institute of Educational Research (WMIER), which is part of the Faculty of Education, The University of Waikato, publishes the journal.

There are two major submission deadline dates: December 1 (for publication the following year in May); June 1 (for publication in the same year in November). Please submit your article or abstract to wmier@waikato.ac.nz.

Submissions for special sections of the journal are usually by invitation. Offers for topics for these special sections, along with offers to edit special sections are also welcome.

Correspondence, articles for review, subscriptions and payments should be addressed to the Administrator Wilf Malcolm Institute of Educational Research, Faculty of Education, The University of Waikato, Private Bag 3105, Hamilton, 3240, New Zealand. Email: wmier@waikato.ac.nz

Subscriptions: Within NZ \$50; Overseas NZ \$60

Copyright: © Faculty of Education, The University of Waikato

Publisher: Faculty of Education, The University of Waikato

Cover design: Donn Ratana

Printed by: Waikato Print

ISSN 1173-6135

Waikato Journal Of Education

Te Hautaka Mātauranga o Waikato

Volume 18, Issue 1, 2013

| | |
|---|-----|
| Editorial introduction: Reclaiming and reframing a national voice for teacher education <i>John O'Neill, Sally Hansen, Peter Rawlins and Judith Donaldson</i> | 3 |
| Policy driven reforms and the role of teacher educators in reframing teacher education in the 21st century <i>Diane Mayer</i> | 7 |
| Is initial teacher education a profession? <i>John O'Neill</i> | 21 |
| Rapporteurs' report: Is initial teacher education a profession? <i>Beverley Norsworthy</i> | 33 |
| Teacher education policy in New Zealand since 1970 <i>Noeline Alcorn</i> | 37 |
| Rapporteurs' report: Teacher education policy in New Zealand since 1970 <i>Andy Begg and Barbara Allan</i> | 49 |
| Towards equity through initial teacher education <i>Dr Airini</i> | 53 |
| Rapporteurs' report: Towards equity through initial teacher education <i>Chris Jenkin and John Clark</i> | 67 |
| From preparation to practice: Tensions and connections <i>Mary Simpson and Lexie Grudnoff</i> | 71 |
| Rapporteurs' report: From preparation to practice: Tensions and connections <i>Monica Cameron and Walt Rutgers</i> | 83 |
| What are the characteristics of exemplary initial teacher education programmes in countries similar to Aotearoa/New Zealand? <i>Peter Lind</i> | 87 |
| Rapporteurs' report: What are the characteristics of exemplary initial teacher education programmes in countries similar to Aotearoa/New Zealand? <i>Peter Lind, Barry Brooker and Beverley Cooper</i> | 101 |
| What should initial teacher education programmes for 2022 look like and why? <i>Jane Gilbert</i> | 105 |
| Rapporteurs' report: What should initial teacher education programmes for 2022 look like and why? <i>Letitia Fickel and Julie Mackey</i> | 117 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| What evidence-base do we need to build a stronger theory-practice nexus? <i>Lisa F. Smith</i> | 121 |
| Rapporteurs' report: What evidence-base do we need to build a stronger theory-practice nexus? <i>Judith Donaldson and Kama Weir</i> | 131 |
| Who should develop initial teacher education policy and why? <i>Judie Alison and Sandra Aikin</i> | 135 |
| Rapporteurs' report: Who should develop initial teacher education policy and why? <i>Graham Jackson and Jenny Ritchie</i> | 147 |
| Special Interest Group report: Tātaiako: Cultural competencies for teachers of Māori learners <i>Jen McLeod and Pani Kenrick</i> | 151 |
| Special Interest Group report: Early Childhood Education <i>Kerry Bethell</i> | 155 |
| Special Interest Group report: ICT/eLearning competencies in ITE <i>Mary Simpson</i> | 159 |
| Special Interest Group report: Learning languages in ITE <i>Adèle Scott</i> | 163 |
| Special Interest Group report: Literacy and numeracy competency of ITE students <i>Beverley Cooper and Bev Norsworthy</i> | 165 |
| Special Interest Group report: Inclusive education in ITE <i>Missy Morton</i> | 171 |
| Special Interest Group report: Sustainability in initial teacher education <i>Jenny Ritchie</i> | 175 |



Special Interest Group report: ICT/eLearning competencies in ITE

Mary Simpson

College of Education
University of Otago

A group of fourteen people from a range of initial teacher education providers met to discuss the use of ICT/eLearning in initial teacher education.

Initial discussion established that there was agreement that we need to see our students (and learners in school and early childhood settings) as intimately embedded in and interconnected with their tools, their environment and their social networks (Facer, 2011). The question then arose of when those tools are digital, how should we be interacting with our students/learners in schools and centres? This is a continuing challenge and a question that needs constant foregrounding.

In the teaching and learning context, questions are more important than answers given the ready availability of information on the Web. How can the use of ICTs in our programmes and the schools and centres we engage with promote a question-asking environment, and what skills, knowledge and values do teachers need to support this approach?

When developing papers and programmes and teaching in our initial teacher education programmes we need to constantly ask ourselves how we will prepare student teachers to engage in the digital world. At present this is often not done well enough. Currently, approaches taken are often not a cohesive whole. The challenges of teaching with technology need to be addressed through professional development as many lecturers still lack confidence.

We also need to maintain close links with schools and centres as the use of technology in those settings is changing rapidly. The challenges these settings face are also varied as the contexts are so different. There is variation within the sectors and from setting to setting. Cluster schools are an example of a context where teachers (our graduates) are expected to teach online.

The group noted that learning with technology can develop a disposition for new ways of learning with our students. It was acknowledged that our students are learning in fundamentally different ways from those we are used to. However, the response can't just be to provide the tools as this doesn't necessarily build appropriate dispositions and promote the use of technology for learning. The University of Auckland College of



Education has developed a useful DVD that focuses on the use of digital technology in the classroom. We also need a disposition to learn alongside our students.

It is also imperative that our students understand the risks of social networks. Many make unwise decisions about the use of social networks and don't realise the consequences. The New Zealand Teachers Council's work on this is useful and provides a starting point.

The entry skills and understandings of our students need to be acknowledged. The challenge is to identify these and build on them. We have students coming into our initial teacher education programmes who have come through networked teaching—e.g., Māori video network being used in Kura/Wharekuri.

BYOD (Bring Your Own Device) is a noticeable trend in schools so we need to prepare students for this. Likewise the reuse of portable devices is becoming more universal, although not all schools are 'equal'. The impact of socioeconomic status is obvious.

Ultimately, the use of technology is all about pedagogy. There is an obvious need to consider carefully what we are doing with our students and to develop ways of sharing good practice. Alongside this we must raise the profile of technology-enhanced teaching. A SIG subset on the TEFANZ website which currently lists initial teacher education publications from member institutions would be a good way to develop this. Some examples are presently available. Go to <http://www.tefanz.org.nz/member-organisations/teacher-education-research-outputs-member-organisations/>

A paper from Professor Niki Davis (2012), University of Canterbury, was circulated to the SIG. The paper indicated there was a need for initial teacher education providers to have access to, and be included in, current Ministry of Education developments related to the Network for Learning (N4L) and the Ultrafast Broadband in Schools initiatives. The paper noted there is a need to guide implementation and development of education in New Zealand with the Network for Learning and Ultrafast Broadband in Schools, with particular reference to teacher preparation. Many educators will be unable to access the nation's Network for Learning unless action is taken to influence policy to ensure relevant educators in the tertiary sector, particularly teacher educators, can engage in the initiatives. The following three recommendations from the paper (p. 6) were discussed:

1. The services of the UFB Crown Entity be adjusted to cover all those who work with the schooling sector.
2. A national hui be convened to better understand and start to disseminate the contributions and needs of initial teacher education, including services such as nationwide ePortfolio services that are already deployed for schools and professional learning development (PLD) in the schooling sector.
3. The government's billion-dollar investment in UFB be leveraged by gathering relevant illustrations of best practices plus related research and development to inform the national strategy and all relevant educational agencies and institutions. Best practice includes PLD provided by student teachers and curriculum innovations with digital technologies that reach schools and communities through initial teacher education, including blended online learning across initial teacher education and some partner schools.

The issues raised were recognised and there was agreement that there was a need for continued engagement with them.

References

- Davis, N. E. (2012). *The network for learning and initial teacher education in New Zealand*. Christchurch, New Zealand: University of Canterbury e-Learning Lab.
- Facer, K. (2011). *Learning futures: Education, technology, and social change*. New York, NY: Routledge.

